

G-structure on the cohomology of Hopf algebras

Marco A. Farinati ¹ - Andrea Solotar ¹

Abstract

We prove that $\text{Ext}_A^\bullet(k, k)$ is a Gerstenhaber algebra, where A is a Hopf algebra. In case $A = D(H)$ is the Drinfeld double of a finite dimensional Hopf algebra H , our results implies the existence of a Gerstenhaber bracket on $H_{GS}^\bullet(H, H)$. This fact was conjectured by R. Taillefer in [Ta3]. The method consists in identifying $\text{Ext}_A^\bullet(k, k)$ as a Gerstenhaber subalgebra of $H^\bullet(A, A)$ (the Hochschild cohomology of A).

Introduction

The motivation of this paper is to prove that $H_{GS}^\bullet(H, H)$ has a structure of a G-algebra. We prove this result when H is a finite dimensional Hopf algebra (see Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 2.3). $H_{GS}^\bullet$ is the cohomology theory for Hopf algebras defined by Gerstenhaber and Schack in [G-S1].

In order to obtain commutativity of the cup product we prove a general statement on Ext groups over Hopf algebras (without any finiteness assumption). When H is finite dimensional, the category of Hopf bimodules is isomorphic to a module category, over an algebra X (also finite dimensional) defined by C. Cibils and M. Rosso (see [C-R]), and this category is also equivalent to the category of Yetter-Drinfeld modules, which is isomorphic to the category of modules over the Hopf algebra $D(H)$ (the Drinfeld double of H). In [Ta2], R. Taillefer has defined a natural cup product in $H_{GS}^\bullet(H, H) = H_b^\bullet(H, H)$ (see [G-S2] for the definition of $H_b^\bullet$). When H is finite dimensional she proved that $H_b^\bullet(H, H) \cong \text{Ext}_X^\bullet(H, H)$, and using this isomorphism she showed that it is (graded) commutative. In a later work [Ta3] she extended the result of commutativity of the cup product to arbitrary dimensional Hopf algebras and she conjectured the existence (and a formula) of a Gerstenhaber bracket.

Our method for giving a Gerstenhaber bracket is the following: under the equivalence of categories $X\text{-mod} \cong {}_{D(H)}\text{-mod}$, the object H corresponds to $H^{coH} = k$, so $\text{Ext}_X^\bullet(H, H) \cong \text{Ext}_{D(H)}^\bullet(k, k)$ (isomorphism of graded algebras); after D. Ştefan [Şt] one knows that $\text{Ext}_{D(H)}^\bullet(k, k) \cong H^\bullet(D(H), k)$. In Theorem 1.5 we prove that, if A is an arbitrary Hopf algebra, then $H^\bullet(A, k)$ is isomorphic to a subalgebra of $H^\bullet(A, A)$ (in particular it is graded commutative) and in Theorem 2.1 we prove that the image of $H^\bullet(A, k)$ in $H^\bullet(A, A)$ is stable under the brace operation, in particular it is closed under the Gerstenhaber bracket of $H^\bullet(A, A)$. So, the existence of the Gerstenhaber bracket on $H_{GS}^\bullet(H, H)$ follows, at least in the finite dimensional case, taking $A = D(H)$. We don't know if this bracket coincides with the formula proposed in [Ta3].

We also provide a proof that the algebra $\text{Ext}_C^\bullet(k, k)$ is graded commutative when C is a braided monoidal category satisfying certain homological hypothesis (see Theorem 1.3). This gives an alternative proof of the commutativity result in the arbitrary dimensional case taking $C = {}_H^H\mathcal{YD}$, the Yetter-Drinfeld modules.

In this paper, the letter A will denote a Hopf algebra over a field k .

1 Cup products

This section has two parts. First we prove a generalization of the fact that the cup product on $H^\bullet(G, k)$ is graded commutative. The general abstract setting is that of a braided (abelian) category with enough

¹Dto. de Matemática Facultad de Cs. Exactas y Naturales. Universidad de Buenos Aires. Ciudad Universitaria Pab I. 1428 - Buenos Aires - Argentina. e-mail: asolotar@dm.uba.ar, mfarinat@dm.uba.ar

Research partially supported by UBACYT X062 and Fundación Antorchas (proyecto 14022-47).

Both authors are research members of CONICET (Argentina).

injectives satisfying a Künneth formula (see definitions below). The other part will concern the relation between self extensions of k and Hochschild cohomology of A with coefficients in k .

Let us recall the definition of a braided category:

Definition 1.1. *The data $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, k, c)$ is called a **braided** category with unit element k if*

1. $\mathcal{C}$ is an abelian category.
2. $-\otimes-$ is a bifunctor, bilinear, associative, and there are natural isomorphisms $k \otimes X \cong X \cong X \otimes k$ for all objects X in $\mathcal{C}$.
3. For all pair of objects X and Y , $c_{X,Y} : X \otimes Y \rightarrow Y \otimes X$ is a natural isomorphism. The isomorphisms $c_{X,k} : X \otimes k \cong k \otimes X$ agrees with the isomorphism of the unit axiom, and for all triple X, Y, Z of objects in $\mathcal{C}$, the Yang-Baxter equation is satisfied:

$$(\text{id}_Z \otimes c_{X,Y}) \circ (c_{X,Z} \otimes \text{id}_Y) \circ (\text{id}_X \otimes c_{Y,Z}) = (\text{id}_Y \otimes c_{X,Z}) \circ (c_{X,Y} \otimes \text{id}_Z)$$

If one doesn't have the data c , and axioms 1 and 2 are satisfied, we say that $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, k)$ is a **monoidal** category.

Definition 1.2. *We will say that a monoidal category $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, k)$ satisfies the **Künneth formula** if and only if there are natural isomorphisms $H_*(X_*, d_X) \otimes H_*(Y_*, d_Y) \cong H_*(X_* \otimes Y_*, d_{X \otimes Y})$ for all pair of complexes in $\mathcal{C}$.*

Theorem 1.3. *Let $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, k, c)$ be a braided category with enough injectives satisfying the Künneth formula, then $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\bullet}(k, k)$ is graded commutative.*

Proof. We proceed as in the proof that $H^{\bullet}(G, k)$ is graded commutative (see for example [Be], page 51, Vol I). The proof is based on two points: firstly a definition of a cup product using $\otimes$, secondly a Lemma relating this construction and the Yoneda product of extensions.

Let $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow X_p \rightarrow \dots X_1 \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$ and $0 \rightarrow M' \rightarrow X'_q \rightarrow \dots X'_1 \rightarrow N' \rightarrow 0$ be two extensions in $\mathcal{C}$. Then $N_* := (0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow X_p \rightarrow \dots X_1 \rightarrow 0)$ and $N'_* := (0 \rightarrow M' \rightarrow X'_q \rightarrow \dots X'_1 \rightarrow 0)$ are two complexes, quasi-isomorphic to N and N' respectively. By the Künneth formula $N_* \otimes N'_*$ is a complex quasi-isomorphic to $N \otimes N'$, so "completing" this complex with $N \otimes N'$ (more precisely considering the mapping cone of the chain map $N_* \otimes N'_* \rightarrow N \otimes N'$) one has an extension in $\mathcal{C}$, beginning with $M \otimes M'$ and ending with $N \otimes N'$.

So, we have defined a cup product:

$$\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}}^p(N, M) \times \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}}^q(N', M') \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}}^{p+q}(N \otimes N', M \otimes M')$$

We will denote this product by a dot, and the Yoneda product by $\smile$. The Lemma relating this product and the Yoneda one is the following:

Lemma 1.4. *If $f \in \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}}^p(M, N)$ and $g \in \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}}^q(M', N')$, then*

$$f \smile g = (f \otimes \text{id}_{N'}) \smile (\text{id}_M \otimes g)$$

Proof of the Lemma: Interpreting the elements f and g as extensions, it is clear how to define a morphism of complexes $(f \otimes \text{id}_{N'}) \smile (\text{id}_M \otimes g) \rightarrow f \smile g$, and by the Künneth formula, it is a quasi-isomorphism.

In the particular case $M = M' = N = N' = k$, the Lemma implies that $f \cdot g = f \smile g$ for all f and g in $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\bullet}(k, k)$. Now the theorem is a consequence of the isomorphism $(X_* \otimes Y_*, d_{X \otimes Y}) \cong (Y_* \otimes X_*, d_{Y \otimes X})$, valid for every pair of complexes in $\mathcal{C}$, defined by:

$$(-1)^{pq} c_{X,Y} : X_p \otimes Y_q \rightarrow Y_q \otimes X_p$$

□

Theorem 1.5. *If A is a Hopf algebra then $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\bullet}(k, k) \cong H^{\bullet}(A, k)$. Moreover $H^{\bullet}(A, k)$ is isomorphic to a subalgebra of $H^{\bullet}(A, A)$.*

Proof. After D. Ştefan [Şt], since A is an A -Hopf Galois extension of k , $H^\bullet(A, M) \cong \text{Ext}_A^\bullet(k, M^{\text{ad}})$ for all A -bimodule M . In particular, $H^\bullet(A, k) = \text{Ext}_A^\bullet(k, k)$. But one can give, for this particular case, an explicit morphism at the complex level. In order to do this, we will choose a particular resolution of k as left A -module.

Let $C_*(A, b')$ be the standard resolution of A as A -bimodule, namely $C_n(A, b') = A \otimes A^{\otimes n} \otimes A$ and $b'(a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_{n+1}) = \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_i \cdot a_{i+1} \otimes \dots \otimes a_{n+1}$ ($a_i \in A$). This resolution splits on the right, so $(C_*(A) \otimes_A k, b' \otimes \text{id}_k)$ is a resolution of $A \otimes_A k = k$ as left A -module. Using this resolution, $\text{Ext}_A^\bullet(k, k)$ is the homology of the complex $(\text{Hom}_A(C_*(A) \otimes_A k, k), (b' \otimes \text{id}_k)^*) \cong (\text{Hom}(A^{\otimes*}, k), \partial)$. Under this isomorphism, the differential ∂ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial f)(a_1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n) &= \epsilon(a_1)f(a_2 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n) + \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (-1)^i f(a_1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_i \cdot a_{i+1} \otimes \dots \otimes a_n) + (-1)^n f(a_1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_{n-1})\epsilon(a_n) \end{aligned}$$

And this is precisely the formula of the differential of the standard Hochschild complex computing $H^\bullet(A, k)$.

One can easily check that the cup product on $\text{Ext}_A^\bullet(k, k)$ (which equals the Yoneda product in this case) corresponds to the cup product on $H^\bullet(A, k)$, so this isomorphism is an algebra isomorphism.

Now we will give two multiplicative maps $H^\bullet(A, k) \rightarrow H^\bullet(A, A)$ and $H^\bullet(A, A) \rightarrow H^\bullet(A, k)$. Consider the counit $\epsilon : A \rightarrow k$, it is an algebra map, so the induced map $\epsilon_* : H^\bullet(A, A) \rightarrow H^\bullet(A, k)$ is multiplicative. We will define a multiplicative section of this map.

Let $f : A^{\otimes p} \rightarrow k$ be a Hochschild cocycle, define $F : A^{\otimes p} \rightarrow A$ by the formula:

$$F(a^1 \otimes \dots \otimes a^p) := a_1^1 \dots a_1^p \cdot f(a_2^1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_2^p)$$

Where we have used the Sweedler-type notation with summation symbol omitted: $a_1^i \otimes a_2^i = \Delta(a^i)$, for $a^i \in A$.

Let us check that F is a Hochschild cocycle with values in A .

$$\begin{aligned} \partial(F)(a^0 \otimes \dots \otimes a^p) &= a^0 F(a^1 \otimes \dots \otimes a^p) + \\ &+ \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} (-1)^{i+1} F(a^0 \otimes \dots \otimes a^i \cdot a^{i+1} \otimes \dots \otimes a^p) + (-1)^{p+1} F(a^0 \otimes \dots \otimes a^{p-1})a^p = \\ &= a^0 \cdot a_1^1 \dots a_1^p \cdot f(a_2^1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_2^p) + (-1)^{p+1} a_1^0 \dots a_1^{p-1} \cdot f(a_2^0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_2^{p-1})a^p + \\ &+ \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} (-1)^{i+1} a_1^0 \dots a_1^i a_1^{i+1} \dots a_1^p \cdot f(a_2^0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_2^i \cdot a_2^{i+1} \otimes \dots \otimes a_2^p) \end{aligned}$$

Using that f is a Hochschild cocycle with values in k , we know that

$$0 = \epsilon(a^0)f(a^1 \otimes \dots \otimes a^p) + \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} (-1)^{i+1} f(a^0 \otimes \dots \otimes a^i \cdot a^{i+1} \otimes \dots \otimes a^p) + (-1)^{p+1} f(a^0 \otimes \dots \otimes a^{p-1})\epsilon(a^p)$$

So, the summation term in $\partial(F)$ can be replaced using the equality

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} (-1)^{i+1} a_1^0 \dots a_1^i a_1^{i+1} \dots a_1^p \cdot f(a_2^0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_2^i \cdot a_2^{i+1} \otimes \dots \otimes a_2^p) = \\ &= -a_1^0 \dots a_1^i a_1^{i+1} \dots a_1^p \cdot \left(\epsilon(a_2^0)f(a_2^1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_2^p) + (-1)^{p+1} f(a_2^0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_2^{p-1})\epsilon(a_2^p) \right) = \end{aligned}$$

$$= - \left(a^0.a_1^1 \dots a_1^p.f(a_2^1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_2^p) + (-1)^{p+1}a_1^0 \dots a_1^{p-1}.a^p f(a_2^0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_2^{p-1}) \right)$$

and this finishes the computation of ∂F .

Clearly $\epsilon F = f$, so ϵ_* is a split epimorphism. To check that $f \mapsto F$ is multiplicative is straightforward:

Let us denote $\hat{f} := F$, and if $g : A^{\otimes q} \rightarrow k$, $\hat{g} : A^{\otimes q} \rightarrow A$ the cocycle corresponding to g .

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{f \smile g}(a^1 \otimes \dots \otimes a^{p+q}) &= a_1^1 \dots a_1^{p+q}.(f \smile g)(a_2^1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_2^{p+q}) \\ &= a_1^1 \dots a_1^{p+q}.f(a_2^1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_2^p)g(a_2^{p+1} \otimes \dots \otimes a_2^{p+q}) \\ &= (\hat{f} \smile \hat{g})(a^1 \otimes \dots \otimes a^{p+q}) \end{aligned}$$

□

2 Brace operations

In this section we prove our main theorem, stating that the map $H^\bullet(A, k) \rightarrow H^\bullet(A, A)$ is “compatible” with the brace operations, and as a consequence with the Gerstenhaber bracket.

Theorem 2.1. *The image $H^\bullet(A, k) \rightarrow H^\bullet(A, A)$ is stable under the brace operation. Moreover, if $\hat{f}$ and $\hat{g}$ are the images in $H^\bullet(A, A)$ of f and g in $H^\bullet(A, k)$, then $\hat{f} \circ_i \hat{g} = \widehat{f \circ_i g}$*

Proof. Let us recall the definition of the brace operations (see [Ge]). If $F : A^{\otimes p} \rightarrow H$ and $G : A^{\otimes q} \rightarrow A$ and $1 \leq i \leq p$, then $F \circ_i G : A^{\otimes p+q-1} \rightarrow A$ is defined by

$$(F \circ_i G)(a^1 \otimes \dots \otimes a^i \otimes b^1 \otimes \dots \otimes b^q \otimes a^{i+1} \otimes \dots \otimes a^p) = F(a^1 \otimes \dots \otimes a^i \otimes G(b^1 \otimes \dots \otimes b^q) \otimes a^{i+1} \otimes \dots \otimes a^p)$$

Assume now that $f : A^{\otimes p} \rightarrow k$, $g : A^{\otimes q} \rightarrow k$ and $F = \hat{f}$ and $G = \hat{g}$, namely

$$F(a^1 \otimes \dots \otimes a^p) = a_1^1 \dots a_1^p.f(a_2^1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_2^p)$$

and similarly for G and g . Then

$$\begin{aligned} (F \circ_i G)(a^1 \otimes \dots \otimes a^i \otimes b^1 \otimes \dots \otimes b^q \otimes a^{i+1} \otimes \dots \otimes a^p) \\ &= F(a^1 \otimes \dots \otimes a^i \otimes G(b^1 \otimes \dots \otimes b^q) \otimes a^{i+1} \otimes \dots \otimes a^p) \\ &= F(a^1 \otimes \dots \otimes a^i \otimes b_1^1 \dots b_1^q.g(b_2^1 \otimes \dots \otimes b_2^q) \otimes a^{i+1} \otimes \dots \otimes a^p) \\ &= a_1^1 \dots a_1^i.b_1^1 \dots b_1^q.a_1^{i+1} \dots a_1^p.f(a_2^1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_2^i \otimes b_2^1 \dots b_2^q.g(b_3^1 \otimes \dots \otimes b_3^q) \otimes a_2^{i+1} \otimes \dots \otimes a_2^p) \\ &= \widehat{f \circ_i g}(a^1 \otimes \dots \otimes a^i \otimes b^1 \otimes \dots \otimes b^q \otimes a^{i+1} \otimes \dots \otimes a^p) \end{aligned}$$

□

Recall that the brace operations define a “composition” operation $F \circ G = \sum_{i=1}^p (-1)^{q(i-1)} F \circ_i G$, where $F \in H^p(A, A)$ and $G \in H^q(A, A)$. The Gerstenhaber bracket is defined as the commutator of this composition, so we have the desired corollary:

Corollary 2.2. *If A is a Hopf algebra, then $H^\bullet(A, k)$ is a Gerstenhaber subalgebra of $H^\bullet(A, A)$.*

Consider H a finite dimensional Hopf algebra and $X = X(H)$ the algebra defined by C. Cibils and M. Rosso (see [C-R]). We can prove, at least in the finite dimensional case, the conjecture of [Ta3] that $H_{GS}^\bullet(H, H)$ is a Gerstenhaber algebra:

Corollary 2.3. *Let H be a finite dimensional Hopf algebra, then $H_{GS}^\bullet(H, H) (\cong H_{A4}^\bullet(H, H) \cong \text{Ext}_X^\bullet(H, H))$ is a Gerstenhaber algebra.*

Proof. The isomorphism $H_{GS}^\bullet(H, H) \cong H_{A4}^\bullet(H, H) \cong \text{Ext}_X^\bullet(H, H)$ was proved in [Ta2].

Let A denote $D(H)$, the Yetter-Drinfeld double of H . One knows that ${}_X\text{-mod} \cong {}_A\text{-mod}$, then $\text{Ext}_X^\bullet(H, H) \cong \text{Ext}_A^\bullet(H^{coH}, H^{coH}) = \text{Ext}_A^\bullet(k, k)$, and this is a Gerstenhaber subalgebra of $H^\bullet(A, A)$. □

Corollary 2.4. *Let H be a Hopf algebra and assume that H is a Koszul algebra (i.e. H is graded, $H_0 = k$, and $E(E(H)) = H$, where $E(\Lambda) = \text{Ext}_\Lambda^\bullet(k, k)$, for an augmented algebra Λ). Then $E(H)$ is graded commutative.*

References

- [Be] D. Benson, Representations and cohomology, Vol I. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics. 30. Cambridge University Press (1998).
- [C-R] Claude Cibils and Marc Rosso, *Hopf bimodules are modules*. J. Pure Appl. Algebra 128, No.3, 225-231 (1998).
- [Ge] Murray Gerstenhaber, *The cohomology structure of an associative ring*. Ann. Math. (2) 78, 267-288 (1963).
- [G-S1] Murray Gerstenhaber and Samuel D. Schack, *Bialgebra cohomology, deformations, and quantum groups*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 87, No.1, 478-481 (1990).
- [G-S2] Murray Gerstenhaber and Samuel D. Schack, *Algebras, bialgebras, quantum groups, and algebraic deformations*. Deformation theory and quantum groups with applications to mathematical physics, Proc. AMS-IMS-SIAM Jt. Summer Res. Conf., Amherst/MA (USA) 1990, Contemp. Math. 134, 51-92 (1992).
- [Şt] Dragos Ştefan, *Hochschild cohomology on Hopf-Galois extensions*. J. Pure Appl. Alg., **103**-2 (1995), pp. 221-233.
- [Ta1] Rachel Taillefer, *Cohomology theories of Hopf bimodules and cup-product*. C. R. Acad. Sci., Paris, Sér. I, Math. 332, No.3, 189-194 (2001).
- [Ta2] Rachel Taillefer, thesis Université Montpellier 2 (2001).
- [Ta3] Rachel Taillefer, *Injective Hopf bimodules, cohomologies of infinite dimensional Hopf algebras and graded-commutativity of the Yoneda product*. ArXivMath math.KT/0207154.